The analysis of experimental data allows us to state that the reaction of amination occurs through the connection of isocyante molecule, being a product of carbamide dissociation, to diphenic acid. Then a molecule of CO₂ is ejected from the intermediate acylurethane and the corresponding monamide is formed, which is cyclized into imide, when losing a molecule of H₂O.
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In the reaction of catalytic synthesis of acetonitrile from acetic acid and ammonia the influence of ratio of reagents, reactor temperature, addition of acetic acid, acetic anhydride and acetamide into the reaction mixture of ethyl ether as well as catalyst promotion (γ-Al₂O₃) by phosphoric acid on the parameters of the process. Optimal conditions of the reaction are determined and the scheme of commercial prototype process is suggested.

Acetonitrile is widely used in organic synthesis as an intermediate reagent, solvent, azeotrope — forming at substances separation [1, 2], as well as at synthesis of CN₆ nanotubes [3]. In industry acetonitrile is produced as a by-product of acrylonitrile synthesis. Processes directed at target synthesis of acetonitrile become perspective because of increasing requirement of acetonitrile in petrochemical syntheses. Methods of acetonitrile obtaining from ammonia and acetic acid, alcohols, paraffins and olefines [4] are developed. Syntheses from alcohols, paraffins and olefines are characterized by insufficient selectivity and low process productivity. Until recently none of methods of purposeful acetonitrile synthesis is realized in industry.

Synthesis of acetonitrile from acetic acid is perspective for realization owing to little consumptions for separation and purification of a target product. Well-known syntheses of acetonitrile from acetic acid and ammonia [4–6] were carried out in tubular reactors with volume velocity of acid supplying 0.3 h⁻¹ or 0.008...0.060 mol/sec·l acetonitrile yield higher than 80 % was observed at temperatures 440...480 °C. But carrying out the reactions at above mentioned temperatures results in thermal pyrolysis of an acid and products of synthesis with catalyst carbonizing and desired product — acetonitrile- contamination, besides high expenditure of energy for reactor heating. At high temperatures formation of hydrocyanic acid is possible when using steel reactor.
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Synthesis process temperature decreasing to 350...380 °C is perspective due to the fact that water vapor may be used instead of furnace gases for reactor heating.

Thus, the aim of the given paper is to examine the influence of the following factors: variation of reagents ratio; reactor temperature; reaction mixture dilution; catalyst promotion on the catalytic synthesis reaction of acetonitrile from acetic acid. According to obtained regularities to suggest optimal conditions for carrying out the reaction of acetonitrile with high productivity obtaining.

Experiments

The investigations were carried out in a flow steel (12X18H10T) reactor with reagents incoming flow at prior heating of reaction mixture to reaction temperature. Ratio NH₃:CH₃COOH varied in the range 1,5...5,0, reaction temperature – 350...450 °C, load for acetic acid and acetamide 0,49...1,02 g/sm³·h. The presented contact time was calculated as ratio of catalyst volume to volume velocity of steam-and-gas mixture at reaction temperature. Ethyl ether of acetic acid and acetic anhydride of «ch.p.» qualification were added into reaction mixture at 5 wt. %. The analysis of synthesis products (H₂O, NH₃, CH₃COOH, CH₃CN, CH₃CONH₂, CH₃COCH₃, CH₃O and HCN) was carried out chromatographically (chromatograph LChM-80) using sorbent Separon SDA, detector - katharometer, carrier gas-helium. The percent of compaction products (% CP) was determined as a ratio of generating sealing products to catalyst mass on 1 sm³ of transmitted acid. γ-Al₂O₃ (A – 64., Sₐ – 205 m²/g, total volume of pores is 0,68 ñm³/g) and γ-Al₂O₃, promoted with phosphoric acid of «ch.p.» qualification at the rate of 2...6 wt. % were used as catalysts.

Results and their discussion

Acetonitrile formation from acetic acid and ammonia is equilibrium two-phase reaction:

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + \text{NH}_3 \xrightleftharpoons{\text{I}} \text{CH}_3\text{CONH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]
\[ \xrightleftharpoons{\text{II}} \text{CH}_3\text{CN} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \]  (1)

The calculation of thermodynamic functions and equilibrium constant for phases I and II (scheme 1) given in the paper [7] showed that the reaction of acetaldehyde formation from acetic acid and ammonia in the range of temperatures 250...450 °C is weak-exothermic \((\Delta H_r = -2,18 \text{ kJ/mole})\), Gibb’s energy \((\Delta = -3,56...4,22 \text{ kJ/mole})\) and equilibrium constant \((C = 2,76...2,26)\) change insignificantly in comparison with reactions temperature. Phase II of acetic acid dehydration is endothermic \((\Delta H_r = 48,37 \text{ kJ/mole})\) according to the given calculations, equilibrium constant becomes more than 1 at temperature higher than 320 °C. Thus, the completeness of reaction of acetic acid ammonolysis may be reached by: a) rise of reactor temperature (restriction – thermal decomposition of acetic acid and reaction products); b) rates variation of ammonia and acetic acid supply (acetic acid load). The improvements of catalytic characteristics of the process by means of simultaneous decrease reactions decrease may be also reached by catalyst promoting with admixtures of different nature.

a) Influence of reaction temperature and reaction mixture composition

Reactor temperature increase higher than 400 °C allows obtaining acetonitrile high yield at large loads for acetic acid, but at the same time a part of non-target reactions increases — decarboxylation of acetic acid to acetone and \(\text{CO}_2\), and also sealing products formation on catalyst surface with its activity decreasing. After 5 hours of work at temperature 440 °C acetonitrile yield decreases from 98,5 to 91,5 %. Reaction temperature decrease to 350...380 °C allows to reduce significantly the amount of formed compaction products (CP) from 0,19 at 400...450 °C to 0,04 at 350...380 °C (table) and to prolong the catalyst period of work.

In the given process catalyst carbonization starts from the initial part of catalyst layer in the coarse of reaction mixture, tail end of the layer is less and last carbonized. Probably, it is connected with storage of water, generating during the reaction, and concentration of water vapors in reaction gases. Use of diluted acetic acid results in decrease of acetonitrile yield and catalyst productivity. Therefore it is justified to add into reaction mixture substances which would decrease rate of CP formation and at the same time participate in reaction with target product formation, for example: acetamide, ethyl acetate or acetic anhydride.

**Table. Influence of reaction temperature and reaction mixture composition on CP formation and productivity (P) of sample**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>Reaction mixture composition</th>
<th>% CP</th>
<th>Acetonitrile yield, %</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400...460</td>
<td>CH₃COOH</td>
<td>0,19</td>
<td>75,2</td>
<td>0,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₃COONH₂</td>
<td>0,04</td>
<td>68,7</td>
<td>0,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₃CONH₂</td>
<td>0,03</td>
<td>80,7</td>
<td>0,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₃CONH₂+NH₃⁺</td>
<td>0,03</td>
<td>97,8</td>
<td>0,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350...400</td>
<td>95 % CH₃COOH+ 5 % (CH₃CO)_2O</td>
<td>0,02</td>
<td>83,1</td>
<td>0,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95 % CH₃COOH+ 5 % CH₃COOH</td>
<td>0,73</td>
<td>67,5</td>
<td>0,215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% CP is the percent of compaction products from catalyst weight per 1 sm³ of feeding acid; P is the acetonitrile productivity of catalyst, kg/dm³·h

Addition of ethyl acetate to acetic acid promotes higher yield, in comparison with pure acetic acid (table). Use of mixture of acetic acid and 5 wt. % of acetic anhydride at equal values of yield of acetonitrile decreases catalyst carbonization. Using the mixture of acid with anhydride in the reaction insignificant rise of conversion is observed, in this case yield of acetonitrile increases due to selectivity increase of desired product — acetonitrile.

Probably, it is connected with the fact that when introducing acetic anhydride into reaction mixture beside the reactions (scheme 1) the interaction reaction of acetic anhydride and ammonia occurs (scheme 2):
Catalyst carbonization decrease at 5 wt. % of acetic anhydride addition may be explained by high acetatical capacity of the latter: acetic anhydride when interacting with sealing products converts them into volatile compounds removed from catalyst surface.

Synthesis of acetonitrile from acetamide (intermediate product) by $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ is accompanied by the processes of hydration and acetamide decomposition with ammonium acetate and methylamine generation (table). Introduction of ammonia into reaction mixture fully suppresses side reactions and increases acetonitrile yield. In this case, the degree of catalyst surface carbonization is lower in comparison with acetic acid. It confirms the assumption that the main source of CP is acetic acid.

b) Influence of feed rate of acetic acid and ammonia

In the range of temperatures 350...400 °C the formation of sealing products is lower, but it is necessary to decrease the load for acetic acid (to increase contact time) for increasing of reagents conversion degree, that may results in rise of a part of side reactions: so, at load for acid 0,58 g/sm$^3$·h selectivity of acetone formation is 0,6 %, but at 1,02 g/sm$^3$·h and temperatures 350...380 °C acetone is not found out in the reaction products. Thus when using the catalyst $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$, optimal load for acetic acid (0,8...1,02 g/sm$^3$·h) and temperature (350...380 °C), at which a part of side reactions at high putout of acetonitrile is minimal are determined.

One more parameter influencing the process of acetic acid ammonolysis may be a variation of reagents partial pressures.

Stoichiometrically reaction of acetonitrile formation from acetic acid and ammonia occurs at mole ratio ammonia/acid = 1:1, but in the range of temperatures 350...380 °C it is shown that increase of ammonia content in mixture results in rise of acetic acid conversion and selectivity of acetonitrile and as a result increase of acetonitrile output in spite of a given contact time decrease is observed (fig. 1).

It is, probably, connected with increase in ammonia partial pressure shifting the equilibrium in the direction of acetamide formation (reaction I, scheme 1) with subsequent formation of acetonitrile (reaction II). Increase of ammonia partial pressure at reactor temperature higher than 400 °C does not influence much values of selectivity and acetonitrile yield.

Thus, it may be noticed that at optimal load for acetic acid (0,8...1,02 g/sm$^3$·h) and temperatures (350...380 °C) ammonia partial pressure increase (or ammonia feed rate) promotes rise of acetic acid conversion and yield acetonitrile, in spite of contact time decrease from 1,75 s at ratio ammonia/acid = 1,5:1 to 0,89 s at ratio ammonia/acid = 4:1.

c) Influence of catalyst promoting

Another method of compaction products formation decrease and process intensification may be aluminium oxide promoting. Promoting of $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ with 2 wt. % of phosphoric acid increases selectivity and acetonitrile output, without practically changing acetic acid conver-
sion. Further increase of amount of promoting admixture- phosphoric acid (to 6 wt.%) allows rising selectivity and acetonitrile yield by means of increase of acetic acid transformation degree. It is seen from fig. 2 that on the γ-Al₂O₃ sample at 380 °C selectivity of acetonitrile ~90 % (yield=87,3 %) is observed and for γ-Al₂O₃ promoted one with 6 wt% phosphoric acid already at 350 °C selectivity is 93 % (yield =90,8 %).

Thus, using of catalysts promoted with phosphoric acid allows decreasing temperature of process carrying out that in its turn results in decrease of carbonization degree of catalyst surface and thereby promotes increase of inter-regeneration time of catalyst operating: so, for H₃PO₄/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts at service temperature 350...380 °C % CP amounts to 0,020...0,019 and for non-promoted γ-Al₂O₃ % SP=0,040. It is connected with the fact that γ-Al₂O₃ promoting with phosphoric acid increases contacts total acidity and in this case redistribution of acid sites by force occurs: concentration rise of sites with the force 3,3 ≥ Нₒ>2,0 (the Bronsted’s acid centers) and decrease of sites with the force 2,0 ≥ Нₒ (probably , the Lewis’s acid centers) where compaction products formation observed [8]. γ-Al₂O₃ phosphoric acid treatment promotes a number of active centers of acetamide dehydration increasing and, as a result, acceleration of reaction equilibrium achievement that results in increase of acetonitrile selectivity and productivity of desired product — acetonitrile to 0,71 kg/dm³·h at 370 °C.

**Fig. 2.** Dependence of selectivity of acetonitrile on reactor temperature at catalysts: 1) γ-Al₂O₃, 2) 2 wt. % H₃PO₄/γ-Al₂O₃, 3) 6 wt. % H₃PO₄/γ-Al₂O₃

**Conclusions**

When using catalysts A-64 promoted with phosphoric acid and ratios NH₃:CH₃COOH=(3...4):1 in the process of acid ammonolysis it is possible to achieve the productivity of acetonitrile 0,7...0,8 kg/dm³·h, minimizing formation of by-products to 0,1 % per reacted acid. Optimal temperature interval of reaction is 350...400 °C (at temperatures higher than 400 °C contribution of pyrolysis and acetic acid decarboxylation reactions increase). In connection with high reaction endothermic it was proposed before to use tubular reactors of complex construction. Using of single-layer adiabatic reactor is not possible because of restrictions at reaction mixture heating higher than 400 °C as side reactions may occur homogeneously on heat exchangers walls forming resins and carbon. Application of multilayer reactor with layer feeding of ammonia heated to 400...410 °C allows avoiding this restriction. In the case of three-layer device the scheme may be like this: 1:1,5 acid ammonia ratio is fed to the first layer of catalyst, to the following layer heated ammonia is fed in 1,0...1,5 ratio to acetic acid initially supplying to the reactor, and so on, while total sum ratio NH₃:CH₃COOH (4,0...4,5):1 being achieved that conforms to the determined optimum.
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